

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1	4		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,153	06/22/2001	Ornan A. Gerstel	2495.7	5717
	590 03/21/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			BELLO, AGUSTIN	
NEW YORK, N	Y 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

5

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/886,153	GERSTEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Agustin Bello	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FITTE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 September 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,51-58 and 72-101</u> is/are pe	ending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9 and 53</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,10-17,51,52,54-58 and 72-1</u>	01 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
	aminor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been fureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SPaper No(s)/Mail Date 	· ·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 13-14, 17, 51-52, 56, 72-85, 87, 91, 95, and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato (U.S. Patent No. 6,477,288).

Regarding claims 1, 51, 72-76, 84, Sato teaches a communication network, comprising: a plurality of first communication paths (leftmost reference numeral 5, 7 in Figure 5A); a plurality of second communication paths (rightmost reference numeral 5, 7 in Figure 5A); and a plurality of nodes (as described throughout the specification), adjacent ones of said nodes being coupled together through said first communication paths and said second communication paths (e.g. both working and protection path shown in Figure 5A), each node comprising: a plurality of switches (reference numeral 13-14, 16-17 Figure 5A), including a first switch (reference numeral 13 in Figure 5A) and a second switch (reference numeral 14 in Figure 5A), each having at least one first terminal (circles seen in Figure 5A), at least one second terminal (circles seen in Figure 5A), at least one fourth terminal (circles seen in Figure 5A), wherein the first terminal (penultimate circle from top of switch 13 in Figure 5A) and the second terminal (uppermost circle from top of switch 13 in Figure 5A) of said first switch are coupled through first plural communication paths (reference numeral 5 in Figure 5A)

Art Unit: 2613

Application/Control Number: 09/886,153

and second plural communication paths (reference numeral 7 in Figure 5A), respectively, to a first, adjacent one of the nodes (e.g. nodes to the left of the node of Figure 5A), the first terminal (penultimate circle from top of switch 14 in Figure 5A) and the second terminal (uppermost circle from top of switch 14 in Figure 5A) of said second switch (reference numeral 14 in Figure 5A) are coupled through plural other first communication paths (rightmost reference numeral 5 in Figure 5A) and plural other second communication paths (rightmost reference numeral 7 in Figure 5A), respectively, to a second, adjacent one of the nodes (e.g. nodes to the right of the node of Figure 5A), and the third terminal (uppermost and rightmost circle of switch 13 in Figure 5A) of said first switch is coupled to the third terminal of said second switch (uppermost and leftmost circle of switch 14 in Figure 5A) through at least one third communication path (reference numeral 30 in Figure 5A); at least one multiplexing/demultiplexing device (reference numeral 11 in Figure 5A) bidirectionally coupled to each of an external communication node (e.g. the add/drop client inherent in Figure 5A) and the fourth terminal of each first (reference numeral 13 in Figure 5A) and second switch (reference numeral 14 in Figure 5A), said at least one multiplexing/demultiplexing device for forwarding signals being communicated between the fourth terminals of said first and second switches (as seen in Figure 5A) and for forwarding signals being communicated between the external communication node and the fourth terminal of respective ones of said first and second switches (as seen in Figure 5A); and at least one controller (reference numeral 24 in Figure 5A) coupled to said first and second switches, said at least one controller being responsive to applied input information (e.g. from reference numeral 19, 21 in Figure 5A) for controlling at least one of said first and second switches to cause that at least one switch to selectively couple at least one of (a) the first and second adjacent nodes

Art Unit: 2613

together by way of at least one of the first and second communication paths coupled to that at least one switch, and (b) the external communication node and at least one of the first and second, adjacent nodes by way of at least one of the first and second communication paths coupled to that at least one switch, wherein each first communication path (reference numeral 5 in Figure 5A) is a working path including at least on optical fiber and each second communication path (reference numeral 7 in Figure 5A) is a protected path including at least one optical fiber.

Regarding claim 2, 87, 91, 95, 99, Sato teaches that each of said first and second switches is a 4X4 optical switch (as indicated by the 4 input 4 output nature of each of the optical switches shown in Figure 5A).

Regarding claims 3, 52, 78, 79, 83, 88, 92, 97, Sato teaches said at least one multiplexing/demultiplexing device is a Wavelength- Division-Multiplexed (WDM) device (column 24 lines 35-40).

Regarding claim 4, Sato teaches that said at least one multiplexing/demultiplexing device includes at least one add/drop multiplexer/demultiplexer (column 7 lines 50-55).

Regarding claims 13, 56, 77, 82, and 85, Sato teaches each node further comprises at least one monitor (column 7 lines 53-59) for detecting the occurrence of a failure in at least one of said first and second communication paths, and wherein said at least one monitor responds to detecting a failure in that at least one communication path by applying the input information to said at least one controller (reference numeral 24 in Figure 5A).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 14, Sato teaches that said at least one monitor detects the occurrence of a failure in the at least one communication path by detecting the substantial absence of light in that path (e.g. "loss of signal" in column 7 lines 50-59).

Regarding claims 17, 80, 81, Sato teaches that said plurality of nodes are coupled together through said first and second communication paths, and form a loop configuration (Figure 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-12, 54-55, 86, 89, 90, 93, 94, 96, 98, and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato.

Regarding claims 10-12, 54-55, 86, 96, 98, and 100, Sato teaches fails to specifically teach the plurality of switch configurations claimed. However, Sato does teach that any of number of switch connection configurations could be made in order to provide the required operation of the optical switching node (as noted throughout Sato). Furthermore, Sato teaches that the controller makes logical decisions on the operation of the optical switches based on failure information received or the detection of the loss of signal (reference numeral 19-22 in Figure 5A). Moreover, it is apparent from Figure 5A that the "loop-back" switch function is well known in the art and supported by the system of Sato. One skilled in the art would clearly have recognized from the disclosure of Sato that a variety of switching configurations would have

Art Unit: 2613

been possible including those claimed by the applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the claimed switch configurations in the system of Sato.

Regarding claims 89, 90, 93, and 94, Sato differs from the claimed invention in that Sato fails to specifically teach the use of amplifiers and attenuators as claimed. However, Official Notice is given that such elements are well known in the art and readily available. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ these elements in order to level of signals being used in the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ amplifiers and attenuators as claimed.

5. Claims 15, 16, 57, 58 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Sharma (U.S. Patent No. 5,986,783).

Regarding claims 15, 16, 57, 58, and 101, Sato teaches said at least one controller is coupled to at least one of the other nodes of the communication network through at least one of said first and second communication paths (column 15 lines 62-67), and wherein said at least one controller is responsive to the input information being applied thereto by the at least one monitor, but differs from the claimed invention in that Sato fails to specifically teach notifying the at least one other node of the detected failure by way of that at least one communication path. However, Sharma teaches that this is well known in the art (column 16 lines 1-3). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to notify the at least one other node of the detected failure by way of that at least one communication path in order to allow the adjacent nodes to reconfigure themselves accordingly (column 16 lines 1-3 of Sharma).

Application/Control Number: 09/886,153 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-9 and 53 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the previously noted position on there being plural paths through the fibers of Sato, and further that each of those plurality of paths is included in Sato's fibers.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the addition of "plural" in describing the communications paths distinguishes the claimed invention from the cited prior art. However, Sato continues to read on the claim even when one considers the newly added limitation. To explain, while Sato only teaches a pair or working fibers and a pair of protection fibers, Sato also teaches that each of the fibers carries a plurality of wavelengths within each fiber (column 8 lines 43-46). As one skill in the art knows, each of these wavelengths traverses a distinct path from the input of the fiber to output of the fiber. As such, each switch of the node, while connected only to a pair of fibers, is coupled through a plurality of communication paths, e.g. each distinct path traversed by each wavelength within the fiber. Therefore, as noted in the office action, Sato continues to read on the claimed invention.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2613

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2613

Agustin Bello Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

AB